US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



Office of Water

Appendix FY 2010
Final Performance Measure Commitments



Table of Contents

	Page		Page
Abstract and Introduction	iii	8) Pacific Islands	19
1) Water Safe to Drink	1	9) Great Lakes	20
2) Safe Fish and Shellfish	5	10) Chesapeake Bay	22
3) Safe Swimming	5	11) Gulf of Mexico	25
4) Water Quality	6	12) Long Island Sound	27
5) Oceans/Coastal	15	13) South Florida	29
6) Wetlands	17	14) Puget Sound	30
7) U.S Mexico Border	18	15) Columbia River	31

ABSTRACT

In April of 2009, the National Water Program published guidance describing strategies for meeting the water related goals established in the 2009-2014 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Strategic Plan* and defining the measures to be used to assess progress in meeting the goals in the *Strategic Plan* in FY 2010.

Some of these measures included "targets," or increments of progress, that might be accomplished under the measure in FY 2010. The *National Water Program Guidance* (*Guidance*), published in April, described a process for EPA regions to work with states and tribes over the summer of 2009 to refine these "targets" into more formal "commitments" and to reflect these commitments in FY 2010 state and tribal performance partnership agreements or other grant agreements and work plans.

This supplemental Appendix to the FY 2010 *National Water Program Guidance*, published in April 2009, includes only measures with targets that will be used to judge the progress of the National Water Program in FY 2010, at both the national and EPA regional levels, and identifies the final "commitments" for progress that were agreed upon as a result of the recent collaborative process among EPA, states, and tribes. Measures without targets, indicator measures, are not included in this supplemental Appendix to focus attention on those measures that have final commitments. Indicator measures, which are also used to judge the progress of the National Water Program, are published in the *Guidance* in April. Since this Appendix is a supplement to the FY 2010 *National Water Program Guidance*, published in April 2009, it is not intended to be a stand alone document.

INTRODUCTION

OVERVIEW – EPA Strategic Plan

EPA's soon to be updated 2009-2014 Strategic Plan defines specific environmental and public health improvements to be accomplished by 2014. The Strategic Plan focuses on a limited number of targeted areas where the Agency believes new or significant changes in strategies or performance measurement are most critical in helping EPA to better achieve and measure environmental and human health outcomes. The Strategic Plan defines specific improvements in drinking water and surface water quality to be accomplished by 2014 and goes further to outline general strategies for accomplishing these improvements. EPA's water programs are addressed in both Goal 2 "Clean and Safe Water" and Goal 4 "Healthy Communities and Ecosystems" of the Strategic Plan. The complete text of the EPA Strategic Plan can be found at http://www.epa.gov/water/waterplan.

Within these two overall goals, sixteen subobjectives define specific environmental or public health results related to water that are to be accomplished by 2014. These subobjectives are organized into fifteen subobjectives in the National Water Program. EPA Region 3, the Lead Region for Water, provides overall regional coordination.

Table I - National Water Program Subobjectives

	Subobjective	Lead National/Regional EPA Office or Program
1)	Water Safe to Drink	Office of Groundwater and Drinking Water (OGWDW)
2)	Fish and Shellfish Safe to Eat	Office of Science and Technology (OST)
3)	Water Safe for Swimming	Office of Science and Technology (OST)
4)	Restore and Improve Water Quality on a Watershed Basis	Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds (OWOW)
5)	Protect Coastal and Ocean Waters	Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds (OWOW)
6)	Protect Wetlands	Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds (OWOW)
7)	Sustain & Restore the U.SMexico Border Environmental Health	Office of Wastewater Management (OWM)
8)	Sustain & Restore Pacific Island Territories	Region 9 / Pacific Islands Office
9)	Improve the Health of the Great Lakes	Great Lakes National Program Office (GLNPO)
10)	Improve the Health of the Chesapeake Bay Ecosystem	Chesapeake Bay Program Office (CBPO)
11)	Improve the Health of the Gulf of Mexico	Gulf of Mexico Program Office (GMPO)
12)	Restore & Protect Long Island Sound	Long Island Sound Program Office (LISPO)
13)	Restore & Protect the South Florida Ecosystem	Region 4 / South Florida Office
14)	Restore & Protect the Puget Sound Basin	Region 10 / Puget Sound Program
15)	Restore & Protect the Columbia River Basin	Region 10 / Columbia River Program

NATIONAL WATER PROGRAM – FY 2010 GUIDANCE

In April 2009, the National Water Program published guidance that described the program strategies to be used to implement the 2009-2014 Strategic Plan in FY 2010, including specific measures to be used to assess program implementation. The National Program Guidance contains five major components: program strategies; measures of progress; description of the management system; links to program grants, and environmental justice. It can be found at http://www.epa.gov/water/waterplan.

Measures of Progress

The FY 2010 *National Water Program Guidance* includes all measures related to water programs, including the environmental/public health measures stated in the EPA *Strategic Plan* (i.e. subobjectives and strategic targets) and the measures of activity in a range of program areas that support each subobjective (i.e. "Program Activity Measures" or "PAMs"). PAMs address annual activities to be implemented by EPA headquarters, EPA regional offices, or by states/tribes that administer national programs. They are the basis for monitoring progress in implementing programs to accomplish the environmental improvements described in the *Strategic Plan*. Some PAMs include management "targets" for FY 2010. For these measures, the *Guidance* provided FY 2010 targets for each EPA region and/or for the country as a whole. Other PAMs – indicator measures – do not include "targets" and simply report progress achieved. This supplemental *Appendix* includes only performance measures that have final commitments to targets provided in the FY 2010 *Guidance*. Indicator measures, which were published in the *Guidance*, are not repeated in this supplemental Appendix.

Description of the Program Management System

EPA regional offices, states, and tribes worked during the spring and summer of 2009 to further define regional and state priorities and develop state and regional "commitments" based on the "targets" in the *Guidance*. The process of tracking measures and commitments is supported by the EPA Annual Commitment System (ACS). The ACS provides a forum for EPA regions and national program offices to exchange "bids" on commitments and reach agreement on final commitments. Unless indicated otherwise, commitments and results presented in this Appendix reflect data from ACS.

During FY 2010, the Office of Water will track progress in program implementation and improve program performance based on evaluation feedback (see Section V of the April *Guidance*). This supplemental Appendix supports program implementation and assessment by providing a summary of final commitments to performance measures, where applicable, from the FY 2010 *Guidance*. For tracking progress, prior years' commitments and end-of-year results are provided in shaded rows below each measure featured in this Appendix. The combination of this supplemental Appendix and the FY 2010 *National Water Program Guidance* contain the complete set of measures, including those with targets/commitments and indicator measures, for the National Water Program. These two documents provide the comprehensive basis for assessing progress in FY 2010.

Information on Program Grants to States and Tribes

EPA provides a wide range of grant funding to states, tribes, and others to implement clean water and drinking water programs and projects. The Office of Water places a high priority on effective grants management, and the FY 2010 *Guidance* presented directions for FY 2010 for most grants and emphasized linking grants to the achievement of environmental results as laid out in the *Strategic Plan*.

A key step in assuring a strong linkage between grants and environmental results is inclusion of measure reporting and commitments in state performance partnership agreements and state and tribal grant agreements or related work plans. More information about grants management is available in Section V of the FY 2010 *Guidance*. A table of key water grant programs with applicable FY 2010 grant guidance can be found at http://www.epa.gov/water/waterplan.

Key to Measure Groups in the FY 2010 Final Commitment Table

Measure Type	Key	Definition
OMB Program Assessment measure	OMB PA	Measure from OMB program assessments
Budget measure	BUD	Measure with FY 2010 target in 4-year table in FY 2011 OMB Submission*
State Grant measure	SG	Measure reported in selected State Grant Programs
Senior Management measure	SMM	Management performance assessment measure
EPAStat Quarterly Report measure	EQR	Measure in EPAStat Quarterly Report (EQR)
NPMStat measure	NPMStat	Measure reported quarterly

^{*} Budget Targets are subject to change in the FY 2011 Congressional Justification budget process.

NOTE: FY 2009 End-of-Year results are from ACS as of November 19, 2009, with limited exceptions.

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (i								Report Measu	ire); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	'AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from 4	l-year perforn	nance measure
Subobj	ective 2.1.1 Water Safe to Drink																
	Percent of the population served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards through approaches including effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG EQR NPMStat	90%	89.9%		89%	75%	88%	91.7%	95%	88%	92%	90%	95%	91%		93%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			92%		92%	79%	89.9%	93.7%	95.4%	89.7%	94.1%	95.8%	96.9%	96.4%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			89.5%		89.0%	75.0%	90.0%	91.0%	91.0%	89.0%	92.0%	90.0%	95.0%	91.0%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			92%		91%	82%	89.6%	94.1%	94.9%	89.4%	83%	96%	97.5%	96.1%		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			92%		92%	77%	95%	93%	93%	92%	93%	97%	95%	92%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			89%		92.5%	55.3%	93.2%	93.0%	94.1%	87.8%	91.2%	94.7%	94.6%	94.8%		
	UNIVERSE (in millions)			293.9		15.0	32.1	25.4	57.5	43.0	37.4	11.9	10.4	50.2	11.0		
	National Program Manager Comments	The univers	e represents th	e population served	by community w	ater system	S.										
SP-1	Percent of community water systems that meet all applicable health-based standards through approaches that include effective treatment and source water protection.	OMB PA BUD SG	90%	87%		83%	82%	80%	90.4%	90%	85%	87%	90%	90%	88%		90%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			89.1%		85.7%	86.0%	90.7%	90.9%	93.0%	87.8%	87.5%	90.0%	87.9%	88.0%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			88.1%		83%	86%	90%	89%	89%	87%	87%	90%	90%	88%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			89% 89%		85% 83%	86% 87%	91% 91%	91% 91%	91.4%	86.8%	88% 87.3%	90%	88.7% 89%	87.9% 88%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			89%		85.7%	86.4%	91.8%	91.0%	92.0%	86.2%	86.8%	90.3%	91.6%	87.3%		
	UNIVERSE			51,651		2,718	3,810	4,470	8,841	7,350	8,202	4,112	3,219	4,534	4,395		
							3,810	4,470	8,841	7,330	8,202	4,112	3,219	4,334	4,393		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	re starting in F	Y 08. FY 07 end-of	f-year data not fro	m ACS.											
SP-2	Percent of "person months" (i.e. all persons served by community water systems times 12 months) during which community water systems provide drinking water that meets all applicable health- based drinking water standards.	OMB PA BUD SMM	95%	94.9%	95%	94%	90%	95%	95.2%	96%	94%	95%	95%	98%	95%		97%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			97.2%		97.5%	91.9%	96.9%	98.3%	97.8%	96.2%	98.2%	99.0%	98.6%	98.7%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			95%		94.5%	90%	96%	94%	95%	95%	95%	95%	98%	95%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			97%		95.9%	91.2%	98.2%	98.2%	97.3%	95.7%	97%	99%	99.1%	98.3%		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			97%		96%	92%	99%	98%	97%	97%	98%	99%	97%	98%		
	UNIVERSE (in millions)			3,531		180	384	311	694	515	449	140	124	602	132		
	National Program Manager Comments	Indicator m	easure in FY 0	7.										-			
SP-3	Percent of the population in Indian country served by community water systems that receive drinking water that meets all applicable health-based drinking water standards.	BUD SMM	87%	82.2%		95%	95%	n/a	89%	95%	78%	85%	87%	75%	87%		88%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			81.2%		99.9%	99.6%	n/a	100.0%	99.3%	87.2%	83.3%	90.4%	68.1%	87.2%		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment), the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perforn	nance measure
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			81.6%		95%	95%	n/a	89%	85%	82%	80%	87%	75%	91%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			83%		100%	53.1%	n/a	89.8%	96.9%	83.6%	87%	88.2%	73.4%	99%		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			87%		100%	100%	n/a	89%	98%	81%	72%	87%	84%	92%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			86%		100.0%	100.0%	n/a	100.0%	99.5% 97.937	90.4% 72,919	86.5% 5,394	82.6% 89,828	80.9%	88.1%		
		TI		861,695		90,594	11,071	n/a	21,042	97,937	/2,919	5,394	89,828	427,853	45,057		
SP-4a	National Program Manager Comments Percent of community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water	OMB PA	Long-Term Target	e population in India	an country served	64%	60%	25%	38%	38%	36%	18%	44%	8%	35%		50%
	protection. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			35%		64%	60%	27%	37%	38%	38%	9%	38%	8%	38%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT			34.2%		57%	60%	25%	41%	39%	30%	18%	38%	5%	35%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			32%		64%	58%	25%	30%	40%	25%	17%	37%	8%	35%		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			33%		57%	58%	21%	40%	39%	27%	17%	33%	1%	33%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			20%		51%	30%	12%	21%	19%	19%	13%	20%	1%	28%		
	UNIVERSE (FY 2007)			51,651		2,718	3,810	4,470	8,841	7,350	8,202	4,112	3,219	4,534	4,395		
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-	of-year data no	t from ACS. The u	niverse is the nun				0,011	7,330	0,202	1,112	3,217	1,551	1,575	l	1
SP-4b	Percent of the population served by community water systems where risk to public health is minimized through source water protection.	SG		52.4%		95%	80%	58%	51%	64%	60%	20%	35%	12%	72%		62%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			54.0%		93.0%	80.0%	63.0%	50.0%	65.0%	63.0%	15.0%	37.0%	12.0%	82.0%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			48.7%		81%	80%	58%	48%	63%	46%	20%	32%	10%	72%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from			48% 45%		95% 81%	81% 79%	57% 54%	40%	64%	44%	16%	35% 27%	12% 1%	71%		
	ACS) UNIVERSE (in millions)			293.9		15.0	32.1	25.4	57.5	43.0	37.4	11.9	10.4	50.2	11.0		
	UNIVERSE (III MILIIONS)	an u															l
	National Program Manager Comments			arting in FY 08. No ventory of communi						n, as determ	ined by the	state, of a	ctions in a s	source water	r protection :	strategy. The	e universe is
SP-5	By 2015, in coordination with other federal agencies, reduce by 50 percent the number of homes on tribal land lacking access to safe	OMB PA	Long-Term Target	27,367												27,367	19,319
	drinking water.			8.58%													
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			43,437												43,437	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			28,977 (9.0%)												28,977	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			34,855 (11%)												34,855	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			36,575 (11.5%)												36,575	
	FY 2003 BASELINE			38,637												38,637	
	UNIVERSE			319,070												319,070	
	National Program Manager Comments	This measur	re involves coo	ordination with other	federal agencies												
SDW- 1a	Percent of community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rules.	OMB PA BUD SG	95%	88.6%		90%	95%	91%	87.7%	91%	93%	87%	95%	75%	66%		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment) he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (y Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget T	Γarget is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			88%		99.0%	95.0%	93.2%	87.0%	92.9%	92.0%	91.0%	90.0%	67.0%	80.0%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			91.8%		90%	95%	91%	85%	89%	93%	95%	90%	100%	95%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			87%		96%	96%	95.4%	84.3%	87.6%	94.4%	93%	91%	60.7%	66%		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			92%		88%	95%	91%	95%	81%	91%	95%	92%	100%	95%		
	UNIVERSE (FY 2007)			11,471		489	1,387	1,235	1,802	1,376	2,100	792	780	917	593		
	National Program Manager Comments	*Prior to F	Y 07, this meas	sure tracked states, ra	ather than CWSs,	in complia	nce with this	regulation.	The nationa	FY 07 end-	of-year res	ult provide	d is an esti	mate.			
SDW- 1b	Number of tribal community water systems (CWSs) that have undergone a sanitary survey within the past three years (five years for outstanding performers) as required under the Interim Enhanced and Long-Term I Surface Water Treatment Rule	EQR NPMStat		55	55	1	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	7	26	8		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			63		2	2	n/a	1	2	9	1	13	25	8		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			49		1	2	n/a	1	2	7	1	6	21	8		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			47		1	2	n/a	1	2	5	1	16	12	7		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			51		1	2	n/a	1	2	1	1	17	18	8		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			22		n/a	1	n/a	1	2	1	1	0	9	7		
	UNIVERSE (FY 2007)			68		,							25	20	4.0		
				08		n/a	2	n/a	1	2	7	l I	25	20	10		
	National Program Manager Comments			n-site review of the w g safe drinking water					intenance of			or the purp				the facilities	s for
SDW-	National Program Manager Comments Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF).	producing a		n-site review of the w					intenance of			For the purp				the facilities	s for
SDW-	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State	producing a	nd distributing	n-site review of the w g safe drinking water		lities, equip	oment, opera	tion, and ma		a public wat	ter system f		ose of eval	uating the a	dequacy of t	the facilities	s for
SDW-	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF).	producing a	nd distributing	n-site review of the w g safe drinking water 85.7%		lities, equip	oment, opera	tion, and ma	89%	a public wat	ter system f	94%	ose of eval	vating the a	dequacy of t	the facilities	s for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	producing a	nd distributing	n-site review of the w g safe drinking water 85.7%		89% 94%	90% 90%	85% 95%	89% 95%	78%	85% 85%	94%	89% 93%	75%	94% 86%	the facilities	s for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT	producing a	nd distributing	85.7% 92% 86% 90% 88%		89% 94% 85% 97.2%	90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 91%	85% 95% 85% 91.5% 91%	89% 95% 89% 89.5% 89%	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84%	85% 93% 79% 88.1% 78%	94% 99% 93% 102% 97%	89% 93% 88% 85.9% 86%	75% 83% 75% 85.7%	94% 86% 94% 93% 96%	the facilities	s for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT	producing a	nd distributing	92% 86% 90% 84.7%		89% 94% 85% 97.2% 90% 78.5%	90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 91% 93.0%	85% 95% 85% 91.5% 91% 83.3%	89% 95% 89% 89.5% 89% 88.0%	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84% 87.0%	93% 93% 79% 88.1% 78% 64.5%	94% 99% 93% 102% 97% 91.0%	89% 93% 88% 85.9% 86% 84.0%	75% 83% 75% 85.7% 85% 80.0%	94% 86% 94% 93% 96% 94.3%	the facilities	s for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT	producing a	nd distributing	85.7% 92% 86% 90% 88%		89% 94% 85% 97.2%	90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 91%	85% 95% 85% 91.5% 91%	89% 95% 89% 89.5% 89%	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84%	85% 93% 79% 88.1% 78%	94% 99% 93% 102% 97%	89% 93% 88% 85.9% 86%	75% 83% 75% 85.7%	94% 86% 94% 93% 96%	the facilities	for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE	OMB PA BUD	89%	92% 86% 90% 84.7%		89% 94% 85% 97.2% 90% 78.5% \$1,378.1	90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 91% 93.0% \$2,686.4	85% 95% 85% 91.5% 91.6 83.3% \$832.3	89% 95% 89% 89.5% 89.6 88.0% \$1,527.6	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84% 87.0% \$2,812.2	85% 93% 79% 88.1% 78% 64.5% \$1,283.7	94% 99% 93% 102% 97% 91.0% \$978.8	89% 93% 88% 85.9% 86% 84.0%	75% 83% 75% 85.7% 85% 80.0%	94% 86% 94% 93% 96% 94.3%	the facilities	for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE (FY 2007 in millions)	OMB PA BUD	89%	92% 85.7% 92% 86% 90% 88% 84.7% \$14,419.7		89% 94% 85% 97.2% 90% 78.5% \$1,378.1	90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 91% 93.0% \$2,686.4	85% 95% 85% 91.5% 91.6 83.3% \$832.3	89% 95% 89% 89.5% 89.6 88.0% \$1,527.6	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84% 87.0% \$2,812.2	85% 93% 79% 88.1% 78% 64.5% \$1,283.7	94% 99% 93% 102% 97% 91.0% \$978.8	89% 93% 88% 85.9% 86% 84.0%	75% 83% 75% 85.7% 85% 80.0%	94% 86% 94% 93% 96% 94.3%	the facilities	for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE (FY 2007 in millions) National Program Manager Comments Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations.	OMB PA BUD Universe re	89%	safe drinking water 85.7% 92% 86% 90% 88% 84.7% \$14,419.7	ojects for the DW	89% 94% 85% 97.2% 90% 78.5% \$1,378.1	90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 91% 93.0% \$2,686.4 th 2007, in m	95% 85% 91.5% 91% 83.3% \$832.3	89% 89% 89.5% 89.5% 88.0% \$1,527.6 bllars (i.e., th	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84% 87.0% \$2,812.2	85% 93% 79% 88.1% 78% 64.5% \$1,283.7 or of the me	94% 99% 93% 102% 97% 91.0% \$978.8 easure).	89% 93% 88% 85.9% 86% 84.0% \$1,006.8	75% 83% 75% 85,7% 85% 80.0% \$1,321.7	94% 86% 94% 93% 96% 94.3% \$592.1	the facilities	for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE (FY 2007 in millions) National Program Manager Comments Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations. (cumulative)	OMB PA BUD Universe re	89%	92% 85.7% 92% 86% 90% 88% 84.7% \$14,419.7 unds available for pro	ojects for the DW	89% 94% 85% 97.2% 90% 78.5% \$1,378.1 SRF throug	90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 91% 93.0% \$2,686.4 th 2007, in m	95% 85% 91.5% 91.6 83.3% 8832.3 uillions of do	89% 89% 89.5% 89.6 88.0% \$1,527.6 ollars (i.e., th	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84.8 87.0% \$2.812.2 e denominat	85% 93% 79% 88.1% 78% 64.5% \$1,283.7 or of the mo	94% 99% 93% 102% 97% 91.0% \$978.8 easure).	93% 88% 88.9% 86% 84.0% \$1,006.8	75% 83% 75% 85.7% 85% 80.0% \$1,321.7	94% 86% 94% 93% 96% 94.3% \$592.1	the facilities	for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE (FY 2007 in millions) National Program Manager Comments Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations. (cumulative) FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA BUD Universe re	89%	92% 85.7% 92% 86% 90% 88% 84.7% \$14,419.7 unds available for pro	ojects for the DW	89% 94% 85% 97.2% 90% 78.5% \$1,378.1 SRF throug	90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 91% 93.0% \$2,686.4 th 2007, in m	95% 85% 91.5% 91.68 83.3% 8832.3 uillions of do	89% 89% 89.5% 89.6 88.0% \$1,527.6 ollars (i.e., th	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84.8 87.0% \$2,812.2 e denominat	85% 93% 79% 88.1% 78% 64.5% \$1,283.7 or of the mo	94% 99% 93% 102% 97% 91.0% \$978.8 easure).	89% 93% 88% 85.9% 86% 84.0% \$1,006.8	75% 83% 75% 85.7% 85.7% 85.4 80.0% \$1,321.7	94% 86% 94% 93% 96% 94.3% \$592.1	the facilities	for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE (FY 2007 in millions) National Program Manager Comments Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations. (cumulative) FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT	OMB PA BUD Universe re	89%	92% 86% 90% 88% 84.7% \$14,419.7 ands available for pro 4,424 4,576 4,015	ojects for the DW	89% 94% 85% 97.2% 90% 78.5% \$1,378.1 SRF throug	90% 90% 90% 90% 94% 91% 93.0% \$2,686.4 th 2007, in m 405	95% 85% 91.5% 91.6 83.3% \$832.3 nillions of do	89% 89% 89.5% 89.5% 88.0% \$1,527.6 bllars (i.e., th	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84.8 87.0% \$2.812.2 e denominat	85% 93% 79% 88.1% 78% 64.5% \$1,283.7 or of the mo	94% 99% 93% 102% 97% 91.0% \$978.8 easure).	93% 88% 88.5.9% 86.6 84.0% \$1,006.8	75% 83% 75% 85.7% 85% 80.0% \$1,321.7	94% 86% 94% 93% 96% 94.3% \$592.1	the facilities	for
SDW-4	Fund utilization rate [cumulative dollar amount of loan agreements divided by cumulative funds available for projects] for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE (FY 2007 in millions) National Program Manager Comments Number of Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) projects that have initiated operations. (cumulative) FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT	OMB PA BUD Universe re	89%	92% 86% 90% 88% 84.7% \$14,419.7 unds available for pro 4,424 4,576 4,015 4,082	ojects for the DW	89% 94% 85% 97.2% 90% 78.5% \$1,378.1 SRF throug 500 564 455 465	90% 90% 90% 90% 91% 91% 93.0% \$2,686.4 th 2007, in m 405 396 394 383	95% 85% 91.5% 91.68 83.3% \$832.3 iillions of do	89% 95% 89% 89.5% 89% 88.0% \$1,527.6 Sollars (i.e., th	78% 82% 78% 81.8% 84.86 87.0% \$2.812.2 e denominat 935 936 883 847	85% 93% 79% 88.1% 78% 64.5% \$1,283.7 or of the mo	94% 99% 93% 102% 97% 91.0% \$978.8 easure). 462 427 344 380	93% 88% 85.9% 86% 84.0% \$1,006.8	75% 83% 75% 85.7% 85% 80.0% \$1,321.7	94% 86% 94% 93% 96% 94.3% \$592.1 240 361 240 307	the facilities	for

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
* Measu table in t	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment) the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (; BUD (Budget SP) proposed t	Measure); SG (argets are from t	State Grant Measure); S he new 2009-2014 EPA	SMM (Senior Manage Strategic Plan, to be	ement Measu submitted to	e); EQR (EPA Congress in 2	Stat Quarterly 010.	Report Measu	ire); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measur	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
SDW-	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to inject industrial, municipal, or hazardous waste (Class I) that lose mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	OMB PA BUD SG	92%	89%		n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	75%	93%	90%	95%	90%	75%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%		n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			88%		n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	75%	90%	95%	90%	90%	75%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			99%		n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	98%	98.2%	100%	100.0%	96%	100%		
	UNIVERSE (FY 2009)			58		n/a	1	n/a	1	2	2	49	1	2	0		
	National Program Manager Comments			9. Universe for FY 07 end-of-year and				d number of	mechanical	integrity fai	lures.						
SDW- 7b	Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	OMB PA BUD SG	89%	85%		n/a	90%	45%	70%	57%	90%	85%	95%	90%	85%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			90%		n/a	100%	57%	83%	67%	96%	85%	95%	100%	100%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			87%		n/a	90%	98%	70%	65%	90%	90%	90%	90%	85%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			98%		n/a	99.6%	99%	99%	97%	97.9%	98%	97.0%	99%	99%		
	UNIVERSE (FY 2009)			1,767		n/a	1	30	52	269	1,086	169	141	6	13		
	National Program Manager Comments			9. Universe for FY 0 07 end-of-year and				l number of	mechanical i	integrity fail	ures.						
7c	Percent of deep injection wells that are used for salt solution mining (Class III) that lose mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.	OMB PA BUD SG	93%	90%		n/a	95%	99%	100%	75%	94%	85%	95%	90%	n/a		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%		n/a	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			89%		n/a	90%	100%	100%	75%	90%	85%	90%	90%	n/a		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			99%		n/a	100%	100%	100%	96%	99.0%	100%	95%	100%	n/a		
	UNIVERSE (FY 2009)			149		n/a	0	n/a	0	2	2	140	4	1	0		
	National Program Manager Comments			9. Universe for FY 0 07 end-of-year and				number of	mechanical i	integrity fail	ures.						
SDW-	Percent of high priority Class V wells identified in sensitive ground water protection areas that are closed or permitted. (cumulative) [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #. Numerical commitments from UIC database.]	OMB PA BUD	n/a	71%		90%	86%	85%	75%	75%	86%	93%	80%	43%	50%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			82%		99.9%	97.0%	94.0%	65.0%	87.0%	100.0%	100.0%	89.0%	42.0%	71.0%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT (Measure revised for			74% (24,832)		90%	86%	88%	95%	60%	86%	95%	70%	40%	20%		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment). he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (y Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perforn	nance measure
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)			84% (5932/7048)		100% 7/7	95% 313/330	90% 3072/3402	96% 133/138	82% 140/170	100%	100% 378	89% 1764/199	0	20% 125/630		
	UNIVERSE			45,476		14,722	286	4,031	1,692	3,585	271	881	2,632	5,211	12,165		
	National Program Manager Comments	"Sensitive g does not rep	ground water p	9. Universe for FY 0 protection areas" are high priority wells thus.	defined by the UI	C primacy	program dire	ector, but at	a minimum n	nust include	ground wa	ter based c	ommunity	water syster	n source wat	ter areas. Th	
Subobj	ective 2.1.2 Fish and Shellfish Safe to Eat																
	Percent of women of childbearing age having mercury levels in blood above the level of concern.	BUD	5.1%	5.1%												5.1%	4.6%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			data n/a												data n/a	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			5.2%									1			5.2%	<u> </u>
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			data n/a												data n/a	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			5.7%												5.7%	
	National Program Manager Comments	SP-6 is a ne	w measure sta	rting in FY 08.							' '					'	
	ective 2.1.3 Water Safe for Swimming																
SP-8	Number of waterborne disease outbreaks attributable to swimming in or other recreational contact with coastal and Great Lakes waters, measured as a 5-year average.	BUD	2	2												2	2
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			data n/a												data n/a	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			2												2	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			0												0	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			2												2	
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	re starting in I	Y 08											I		J
SP-9	Percent of days of the beach season that coastal and Great Lakes beaches monitored by state beach safety programs are open and safe for swimming.	BUD SG	95%	95%	91%	98%	95%	95%	92%	85%	85%	n/a	n/a	86%	95%		96%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			95%		n/a	98.0%	99.2%	96.4%	93.7%	82.0%	n/a	n/a	93.0%	98.0%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			93%	91.7%	98%	96%	95%	92%	85%	85%	n/a	n/a	89%	93%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			95%		98.6%	97.9%	98%	96.4%	91%	85%	n/a	n/a	93.3%	95.4%		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			95.2%		97.3%	97.4%	97.8%	96.5%	93.1%	95.9%	n/a	n/a	92.4%	96.4%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			96.0%		98.0%	97.2%	98.5%	96.3%	95.5%	93.0%	n/a	n/a	95.3%	92.8%		
	UNIVERSE (2006)			709,170		89,355	105,772	19,357	180,965	52,559	14,266	n/a	n/a	233,000	13,896		
	National Program Manager Comments	percentage (of beach seaso	y. Per ACS, Region on day closures result number of beach sea	ing in a lower con	mmitment a	at the region			Samoa, and	the Northe	ern Mariana	as for the fi	irst time. Th	ese territorio	es have a hig	her

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (i								Report Meas	ure); and NPMS	Stat (OW EI	PAStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
SS-1	Number and national percent, using a constant denominator, of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) permits with a schedule incorporated into an appropriate enforceable mechanism, including a permit or enforcement order, with specific dates and milestones, including a completion date consistent with Agency guidance, which requires: 1) Implementation of a Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) which will result in compliance with the technology and water quality-based requirements of the Clean Water Act; or 2) implementation of any other acceptable CSO control measures consistent with the 1994 CSO Control Policy; or 3) completion of separation after the baseline date. (cumulative)	NPMStat		702	702	76	70	211	17	290	n/a	19	1	3	15		
				82%		93%	66%	89%	71%	80%	n/a	79%	100%	100%	100%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			693 (81%)		76	67	206	17	294	n/a	14	1	3	15		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			668 (78%)		76	69	197	15	272	n/a	20	1	3	15		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			610 (72%)		76	62	197	15	232	n/a	9	1 (1000()	3	15 (1000)		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2008 BASELINE			559 (67%) 536(63%)		75 (91%) 75(91%)	51 (48%) 51(48%)	156 (70%) 175(74%)	9 (38%)	238 (67%) 200(55%)	n/a n/a			3(100%)	15 (100%) 15(100%)		
	UNIVERSE			853		82	106	236	24	362	n/a	24	1(10078)	3	15(10076)		1
						02	100	230	24	302	11/a	24	1	3	13		
	National Program Manager Comments	Beginning in	n FY 08, OEC	08. FY 07 numbers ar CA and OWM agreed re data. We have incl	on common lang	ntly differen	t definition.	n procedures	to streamli	ne this measu	re. While	the definiti		tly different	for OWM, the		is still valid
SS-2	National Program Manager Comments Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program.	Beginning ir for comparis	n FY 08, OEC	08. FY 07 numbers ar CA and OWM agreed	on common lang	ntly differen	t definition.	n procedures	to streamli	ne this measu	re. While	the definiti		tly different	for OWM, the		is still valid
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH	Beginning ir for comparis	n FY 08, OEC	08. FY 07 numbers ar CA and OWM agreed re data. We have incl	on common languded a revised ba	ntly different guage and deseline to de	at definition. ata collection monstrate th	n procedures e real progre	s to streamlings for FY 0	ne this measu 8. While natio	re. While	the definiti	y stable, th	tly different ne Regional	for OWM, the baselines did		is still valid
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program.	Beginning ir for comparis	n FY 08, OEC	98. FY 07 numbers ar CA and OWM agreed re data. We have included	on common languded a revised ba	ntly different guage and describe to de	at definition. ata collection monstrate th	n procedures e real progre 100%	to streamliness for FY 0	ne this measu 8. While nation	re. While onal numb	the definition are fairless are fairless n/a	y stable, th	tly different ne Regional 85%	for OWM, the baselines did		is still valid
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT	Beginning ir for comparis	n FY 08, OEC	98. FY 07 numbers ar CA and OWM agreed re data. We have incl 97% 97.6% 99% 99.1%	on common languded a revised ba	ntly different guage and diseline to de 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	95% 95% 95% 100%	the definition of the definiti	y stable, the n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	85% 100% 100%	for OWM, the baselines did 93% 81% 93% 93%		is still valid
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT	Beginning ir for comparis	n FY 08, OEC	98. FY 07 numbers ar CA and OWM agreed re data. We have incl 97% 97.6% 99% 99.1% 100%	on common languded a revised ba	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	95% 95% 95% 100% 99%	the definitiers are fairl n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	y stable, the n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	85% 100% 100% 100%	for OWM, the baselines did 93% 81% 93% 93% 100%		is still valid
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE	Beginning ir for comparis	n FY 08, OEC	98. FY 07 numbers ar CA and OWM agreed re data. We have incl 97% 97.6% 99% 99.1% 100% 96.5%	on common languded a revised ba	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	t definition. ata collection monstrate th 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95%	the definitiers are fairl n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	93% 81% 93% 8196 93% 100% 80%		is still valid
SS-2	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE	Beginning ir for comparis SG States may c	n FY 08, OECson with future	98. FY 07 numbers ar CA and OWM agreed re data. We have incl 97% 97.6% 99% 99.1% 100%	97% s at any time. The	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 89	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 481	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 315	95% 95% 95% 100% 99%	the definitiers are fairl n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	y stable, the n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	85% 100% 100% 100%	for OWM, the baselines did 93% 81% 93% 93% 100%		is still valid
	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE	Beginning ir for comparis SG States may c *Universe for	n FY 08, OECson with future	97.6% 99.1% 100% 96.5% 2,685 designation of beache	97% s at any time. The	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 89	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 481	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 315	95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95%	the definitiers are fairl n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	93% 81% 93% 8196 93% 100% 80%		is still valid
Subob	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	Beginning ir for comparis SG States may c *Universe for	n FY 08, OECson with future	97.6% 99.1% 100% 96.5% 2,685 designation of beache	97% s at any time. The	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 89	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 481	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 315	95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95%	the definitiers are fairl n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	93% 81% 93% 8196 93% 100% 80%		is still valid
Subob	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments ective 2.2.1 Improve Water Quality on a Watersh Number of waterbodies identified in 2002 as not attaining water quality standards where standards	States may c *Universe for ed Basis OMB PA BUD SG	change their d	98. FY 07 numbers are CA and OWM agreed re data. We have included a series of the seri	97% s at any time. The adjusted.	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 20% 2	95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 92% 79	n/a	y stable, the n/a	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 376	93% 81% 93% 81% 93% 100% 80% 75		
Subob	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments ective 2.2.1 Improve Water Quality on a Watersh Number of waterbodies identified in 2002 as not attaining water quality standards where standards are now fully attained (cumulative)	States may c *Universe for ed Basis OMB PA BUD SG	change their d	98. FY 07 numbers ar CA and OWM agreed re data. We have included a service of the control of the	97% s at any time. The adjusted.	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 89 may change	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 481 from year to	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	95% 95% 95% 95% 100% 99% 79	the definitiers are fairl n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	y stable, the n/a	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 376	93% 81% 93% 81% 93% 100% 80% 75		
Subob	Percent of all Tier I (significant) public beaches that are monitored and managed under the BEACH Act program. FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments ective 2.2.1 Improve Water Quality on a Watersh Number of waterbodies identified in 2002 as not attaining water quality standards where standards are now fully attained (cumulative) FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT	States may c *Universe for ed Basis OMB PA BUD SG	change their d	98. FY 07 numbers are CA and OWM agreed re data. We have included a series of the seri	97% s at any time. The adjusted.	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1109% 1119	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 481 from year to	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 95% 100% 99% 79	the definitiers are fairl n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	y stable, th n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 376	93% 81% 93% 8196 93% 100% 80% 75		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. **** Strategic Plan (Report Measi	ire); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perform	ance measure
	UNIVERSE (2002)			39,503		6,710	1,805	8,998	5,274	4,550	1,407	2,036	1,274	1,041	6,408		
	National Program Manager Comments			staff and is not refle us Measure L, since										and OMB I	PA are round	led to 1,550.	
SP-11	Remove the specific causes of waterbody impairment identified by states in 2002. (cumulative)	BUD	7,720	8,512	8,512	257	391	1,575	1,003	3,205	410	332	470	419	450		9,200
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,530		224	384	1,403	912	2,666	395	324	465	310	447		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			6,891		223	308	1,300	912	2,665	360	245	465	303	110		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			6,723		217	243	1,232	912	2,665	346	240	465	303	100		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			4,033		120	42	1,048	698	1,354	247	18	163	259	84		
	UNIVERSE			69,677		8,826	2,567	13,958	9,374	10,155	3,005	4,391	3,502	2,742	11,157		
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 data	from Regional	staff and is not refle	ected in ACS sinc	e measure i	s new startir	g in FY 08.									,
SP-12	Improve water quality conditions in impaired watersheds nationwide using the watershed approach. (cumulative)	BUD	128	141	141	5	20	16	40	15	12	5	20	4	4		300
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			104		4	14	12	32	10	9	4	17	0	2		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			102		4	13	12	32	10	8	4	17	0	2		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			60		1	8	8	20	5	3	3	12	0	0		
	FY 2008 COMMITMENT			40		0	2	3	12	5	3	2	11	0	2		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			21		0	300	300	10	0	0 213	0	9 684	27	0		
	UNIVERSE	EV 07 1.4		4,767	G. A. I. A. C.C.	246			2,000	378	213	169	684	27	450		
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 0/ data	is from Region	nal staff and is not re	effected in ACS si	nce measure	e begins in I	Y 08.									1
SP-13	Ensure that the condition of the Nation's wadeable streams does not degrade (i.e., there is no statistically significant increase in the percent of streams rated "poor" and no statistically significant decrease in the streams rated "good"). [No reporting on this measure until 2012]			No Reporting Until 2012												No Reporting Until 2012	Maintain or Improve the Baseline
	FY 2006 BASELINE			28% good; 25% fair; 42% poor													
	National Program Manager Comments	The Wadea	ble Streams Su	rvey will be updated	d in 2011. There	will be no re	eporting on	his measure	until 2012.								
SP-14	Improve water quality in Indian country at monitoring stations in tribal waters (i.e., show improvement in one or more of seven key parameters: dissolved oxygen, pH, water temperature, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, pathogen indicators, and turbidity). (cumulative) [No reporting on this measure until 2012]	OMB PA	Long-Term Target	No Reporting Until 2012												No Reporting Until 2012	75
	UNIVERSE			1,661 (185)*		160 (14)	14 (n/a)	n/a	37 (2)	729 (44)	68 (1)	82 (4)	100 (10)	203 (43)	268 (67)		
	National Program Manager Comments			on this measure unt			heses are the	number of	stations with	suspected d	epressed w	ater quality	and restor	ration activi	ties underwa	y.	

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	e categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment) ne FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (y Report Measi	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW EF	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perforn	nance measure
SP-15	By 2015, in coordination with other federal agencies, reduce by 50 percent the number of homes on tribal lands lacking access to basic sanitation. (cumulative)	OMB PA	Long-Term Target	18,985												18,985	13,389 (2015)
				5.95%												20.052	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			28,052 20,101								-				28,052 20,101	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			(6.3%)												(6.3%)	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			24,342												24,342	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			23,844												23,844	
	FY 2003 BASELINE			26,777													
	UNIVERSE			319,070						l		l					1
	National Program Manager Comments																
WQ-1a	Number of States and Territories that have adopted EPA approved nutrient criteria into their water quality standards. (cumulative)	SG		13	13	3	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	4	0		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			11		3	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	4	0		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			13		3	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	4	0		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			9		0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	4	0		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			8		0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	4	0		ļ
	UNIVERSE			56		6	4	6	. 8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	National Program Manager Comments	If a state or	territory has a	dopted nutrient water	er quality standard	s for some,	but not all o	of its applica	ble waters, it	t may be cou	nted in bot	h WQ-1a a	nd WQ-1b				
WQ- 1b	Number of States and Territories that are on schedule with a mutually agreed-upon plan to adopt nutrient criteria into their water quality standards. (annual)	SG		32	32	3	4	2	6	5	5	1	3	3	0		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			32		3	4	2	6	5	5	1	3	3	0		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			33		3	4	2	7	5	5	3	3	1	0		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			35		3	3	3	6	6	5	3	4	1	1		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			37		3	1	5	8	6	4	2	4	1	3		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			26		3	1	5	7	6	0	0	0	4	0 4		
	UNIVERSE			52		6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	3	4		
	National Program Manager Comments	If a state or	territory has a	dopted nutrient water	er quality standard	s for some,	but not all o	of its applica	ble waters, it	t may be cou	nted in bot	h WQ-1a a	nd WQ-1b				
	Number of Tribes that have water quality standards approved by EPA. (cumulative)			38	38	n/a	1	n/a	2	4	10	n/a	3	8	10		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			35		n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	2	7	10		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			37		n/a	1	n/a	2	4	10	n/a	3	7	10		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			35		n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	2	7	10		
				32		n/a	1	n/a	2	3	10	n/a	2	5	9		ļ
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT																
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			26 55		0 n/a	0	n/a n/a	2 2	5	9	n/a	6	3 16	8		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (i								Report Measu	ire); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
	Number, and national percent, of States and Territories that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous standards.	OMB PA BUD SG	66%	37	37	2	3	3	8	5	4	3	4	3	2		
				66%													
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			35	35	3	2	3	6	4	4	3	6	3	1		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			33 (59%)		2	2	4	6	4	4	3	5	2	1		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			35 (62.5%) 39 (66.1%)		3	3	6	5	2	5	2 2	5	3	2 4		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE			38(68%)		4	1	4	7	5	4	2	4	4	3		
	UNIVERSE			56		6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	National Program Manager Comments	*FY 05 and	06 end-of-yea	ar results are from th	e WATA databas												
	Number, and national percent of Tribes that within the preceding three year period, submitted new or revised water quality criteria acceptable to EPA that reflect new scientific information from EPA or other resources not considered in the previous			16	16	n/a	1	n/a	2	2	3	n/a	1	5	2		
	standards.			46%													
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			17	17	n/a	1	n/a	2	3	2	n/a	2	4	3		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			15 (48%)		n/a	1	n/a	2	1	3	n/a	3	2	3		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			19 (61%)		n/a	1	n/a	2	1	5	n/a	2	4	4		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			17 (57%)		n/a	0	n/a	2	2	4	n/a	2	3	4		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			12(40%)		n/a	n/a	n/a	1	1	5	0	2	0	3		
	UNIVERSE (FY 08)			35		0	1	n/a	2	3	10	0	2	8	9		1
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 08 unive	erse for WQ-3	b is the number of a	uthorized tribes th	nat have at l	east initial E	PA approve	d water quali	ty standards	as of Septe	ember 2007	7.		1	1	
	Percentage of submissions of new or revised water quality standards from States and Territories that are approved by EPA.	OMB PA BUD SMM EQR NPMStat	85%	85%	73.4%	75%	85%	78%	87%	80%	75%	50%	79%	75%	50%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			93.2%		75.0%	100.0%	83.0%	100.0%	100.0%	91.7%	55.0%	96.7%	97.0%	50.0%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			85%	76.2%	75%	83%	83%	87%	80%	75%	75%	79%	75%	50%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			92.5%		100%	96%	100%	88.6%	100%	85%	99%	90%	100%	33%		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			85.6%		89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	89%	78%	50%		
	UNIVERSE (FY 08)			52		1	1	3	10	10	16	2	3	6	0		
				eived in the 12 mon n number of water q			he fiscal yea	r. Partial ap	provals recei	ve fractional	l credit. **1	FY 06 end-	of-year dat	a is from th	e WATA dat	abase. Uni	verse
WQ- 4b	Percentage of submissions of new or revised water quality standards from authorized Tribes that are approved by EPA.			71.8%	71.8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	75%	n/a	79%	75%	50%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	100%	n/a	100%	100%	50%		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (y Report Measu	ire); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			66.8%		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	75%	n/a	79%	50%	50%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			79%		n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	75%	100%	n/a	0%	100%	100%		<u> </u>
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%		n/a 0	n/a	n/a	n/a 0	100%	n/a 2	n/a	100%	n/a 2	100%		
	UNIVERSE (FY 08)			6			1	0				0	0		1	<u> </u>	
	National Program Manager Comments	Based on su	ibmissions rec	eived in the 12 mont	h period ending A	pril 30 of t	he fiscal yea	r. Partial ap	provals recei	ve fractiona	l credit.						
	Number of States and Territories that have adopted and are implementing their monitoring strategies in keeping with established schedules.	SG		56	56	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			56		6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			56		6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			53		6	4	6	8	6	5	4	3	7	4		4
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE			55 51		6	3	6	8	6	5	4	5	7	4		4
	UNIVERSE			56		6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		1
	National Program Manager Comments			ed schedules" means at they are making a		le in their a	nnual Section				<u> </u>	actions that		ed to impler	ment their m	onitoring st	rategies and
wQ-6a	Number of Tribes that currently receive funding under Section 106 of the Clean Water Act that have developed and begun implementing monitoring strategies that are appropriate to their water quality program consistent with EPA Guidance. (cumulative)			158	158	6	1	n/a	2	29	14	4	15	50	37		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			134		6	0	n/a	1	29	14	2	19	30	33		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			128		6	0	n/a	1	26	14	3	15	30	33		1
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			101		6	0	n/a	1	24	14	2	4	18	32		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			44		0	0	n/a	1	4	14	1	11	9	4		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		4
	UNIVERSE	Alatio		242		6	1	n/a	5	32	40	5	23	93	37	hal Cuidan	
	National Program Manager Comments	A cumulativ	e measure tha	t counts tribes that h	ave developed, st	iomitted to	the Region,	and begun ii	mprementing	water moni	toring strat	egies that a	re consiste	int with the i	EPA 106 III	bai Guidani	.e.
WQ- 6b	Number of Tribes that are providing water quality data in a format accessible for storage in EPA's data system. (cumulative)			94	94	1	1	n/a	2	21	7	2	16	30	14		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			86		1	1	n/a	1	20	7	1	21	20	14		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			73		6	1	n/a	1	18	7	1	15	10	14		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			60		1	0	n/a	1	18	7	1	15	10	7		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			44		1	1	n/a	1	11	7	0	18	3	2		1
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			3 242		6	0	n/a n/a	5	32	40	5	23	93	37		
		A aumulativ	ia mangura tha	t counts tribes that a	ra praviding surfe		to algotronic			L				93	31		<u> </u>
	National Program Manager Comments	A Cumulativ	re measure tha	tourits urites trial a	ic providing suffic	ice water da	na ciccuofil	any m a 101	mai uiai is C	отпраците W	im me sit	VE1/M 65	s system.				
	Number of States and Territories that provide electronic information using the Assessment Database version 2 or later (or compatible system) and geo-reference the information to facilitate the integrated reporting of assessment data. (cumulative)			45	45	6	4	6	6	6	3	2	6	4	2		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment), the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (y Report Measi	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perform	ance measure
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			44		6	4	4	7	6	3	2	6	4	2		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			43		6	4	6	5	5	3	2	6	4	2		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			42		5	44	5	7	5	3	1	6	4	2		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			41		5	3	6	6	5	4	1	6	4	1		
	UNIVERSE			56	l	6	4	6	8	6	5	4	6	7	4		
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe is	fifty states and	d six territories, incl	uding the District	of Columbi	a										
WQ-8a	Number, and national percent, of TMDLs that are established or approved by EPA [Total TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy. Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD SMM EQR NPMStat	41,674	2,592 (77%)	2,592	245	100	797	290	325	222	108	185	50	270		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			5,887 (162%)	5,887	340	126	3,413	675	530	186	49	178	80	310		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			3,097 (83%)		230	89	1,035	500	325	185	161	210	76	286		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			9,135 (105%)		5,454	125	912	835	878	170	185	168	96	312		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			4,191 (128%)		226	146	1,091	608	865	214	160	211	181	489		
	National Program Manager Comments	the number about 80%	of TMDLs ne of pace for ON	an for reducing polliceded to be established B PA. (Source: Off ov/omb/expectmore/	ed consistent with fice of Manageme	national po nt and Budg	licy, i.e. gen get, "Detaile	erally withind Information	n 13 years of on on the Sur	f listing of the face Water I	e water as i Protection A	mpaired. *	Cumulative ," available	total comr	nitment num	bers are calcu	ulated at
WQ- 8b	Number, and national percent, of approved TMDLs, that are established by States and approved by EPA [State TMDLs] on a schedule consistent with national policy. Note: A TMDL is a technical plan for reducing pollutants in order to attain water quality standards. The terms 'approved' and 'established' refer to the completion and approval of the TMDL itself.	OMB PA BUD SG	36,179	2,491 (76%)	2,491	245	100	794	270	325	198	84	185	25	265		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			5,829 (162%)	5,829	340	126	3,413	661	530	146	49	178	76	310		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			2,951 (82%)		230	89	1,035	427	325	119	161	210	74	281		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			8,973 (105%)		5,454	125	911	783	878	66	185	168	92	311		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			3,998 (126%)		226	145	1,091	523	862	138	141	211	172	489		
	National Program Manager Comments	is the numb about 80%	oer of TMDLs of pace for ON	an for reducing pollineeded to be establis MB PA. (Source: Off ov/omb/expectmore/	shed consistent was fice of Manageme	ith national nt and Budg	policy, i.e. g get, "Detaile	enerally wit d Informatio	hin 13 years on on the Sur	of listing of face Water I	the water a Protection A	s impaired. Assessment	. *Ĉumulat ," available	ive total con at	nmitment nu	imbers are ca	lculated at
	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of nitrogen from nonpoint sources to waterbodies	OMB PA	8.5 million	8,500,000												8,500,000	

Y 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	e categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment). te FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (y Report Measu	ire); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	'AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perform	nance measure
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			8,500,000												8,500,000	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			11,300,000												11,300,000	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			19,100,000												19,100,000	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			3.7 million lbs]
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 05 basel	line for a 6 mo	onth period only. Sta	rting with FY 06,	a full year	of data repo	rted. End-o	f-Year result	s are receive	d mid-Febi	uary of the	following	year.			
WQ-	Estimated annual reduction in million pounds of phosphorus from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	4.5 million	4,500,000												4,500,000	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			4,500,000												4,500,000	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			3,500,000												3,500,000	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			7,500,000												7,500,000	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			558,000 lbs													
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 05 basel	line for a 6 mo	onth period only. Sta	rting with FY 06,	a full year	of data repo	rted. End-o	f-Year result	s are receive	d mid-Febi	uary of the	following	year.			
Q-9c	Estimated annual reduction in million tons of sediment from nonpoint sources to waterbodies (Section 319 funded projects only).	OMB PA BUD	700,000	700,000												700,000	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			700,000												700,000	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,100,000												2,100,000	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			3,900,000												3,900,000	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			1.68 million tons													
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 05 basel	line for a 6 mo	onth period only. Sta	rting with FY 06,	a full year	of data repo	rted. End-o	f-Year result	s are receive	d mid-Febi	uary of the	following	year.			
10	Number of waterbodies identified by States (in 1998/2000 or subsequent years) as being primarily nonpoint source (NPS)-impaired that are partially or fully restored. (cumulative)	OMB PA SG NPMStat	Long-Term	188	188	19	10	19	50	22	12	20	16	5	15		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			147		16	6	16	36	18	11	16	13	3	12		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			134		15	6	14	34	16	9	18	12	2	8		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			97		13	6	9	24	11	8	14	6	2	4		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			48		9	0	6	14	3	5	9	0	2	0		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			14		1	0	2	5	2	0	4	0	0	0		
	National Program Manager Comments	each time a	new 303(d) lis	ne universe is the est st is developed, so the ly a national FY 06 e	is figure is only a	n estimate.	Only water	on the Suc	cess Story w								
WQ-	Percent of non- Tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments and report results in both % and #.]			89%	89%	76%	87%	89%	90%	90%	97%	90%	83%	79%	83%		
	AUG LEDOLL LESHUS IN DOIN 76 AUG # 1			104,623	104,623	1,423	2,742	16,423	17,237	13,334	25,143	15,935	4,841	1,909	5,636		
	and report results in som /v and //.]			104,023	104,023	1,123	2,712	10,123	17,237	15,554	23,143	15,755	1,011	1,707	3,030		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (e submitted to	Congress in 2	010.							-	4-year perforn	nance measure
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			89.5% (102,749/ 114,821)		76% (1,357/ 1,780)	87% (2,996/ 3,425)	89% (16,347/ 18,300)	90% (18,230/ 20,256)	90% (12,957/ 14,396)	94% (25,143/ 26,748)	90% (14,750/ 16,480)	85% (4,124/ 4,852)	79% (2,164/ 2,734)	80% (4,681/ 5,850)		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)			90% (105,089)		(73.5%) 1,165	(90%) 2,885	(86.9%) 15,710	(90.1%) 17,431	(85.5%) 12,660	(97.7%) 26,288	(91%) 16,384	(88%) 4,879	(88.6%) 2,407	(81.3%) 5,280		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)			90% (102,196)		(76%) 1,360	(89%) 3,054	(89%) 16,449	(95%) 17,916	(82%) 11,770	(97%) 25,993	(90%) 14,877	(82%) 3,833	(83%) 2,281	(79%) 4,663		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			87.8% (96,851)		64%	94%	86%	87%	87%	93%	82%	87%	91%	77%		
	UNIVERSE			117,056		1,873	3,152	18,453	19,152	14,816	26,748	17,706	5,695	2,416	7,045		
	National Program Manager Comments			d results will be repo										EPA issued p	ermits. Due	to the shifti	ng universe
WQ- 12b	Percent of tribal facilities covered by NPDES permits that are considered current. [Measure will still set targets and commitments	EQR		86%	86%	100%	100%	n/a	100%	95%	90%	100%	90%	79%	64%		
	and report results in both % and #.]			333	333	2	2	n/a	12	40	12	16	176	40	33		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			85%		100%	100%	n/a	92%	100%	92%	100%	91%	76%	46%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			88% (340/388)		100% (2/2)	100% (2/2)	n/a	100% (13/13)	95% (42/43)	90% (9/10)	100% (16/16)	95% (188/198	73% (36/49)	61% (34/56)		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)			85% (329)		(100%) 2	(100%) 2	n/a	(100%) 13	(100%) 42	(100%)	(100%) 16	(95%) 189	(79%) 38	(30%) 17		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)			83% (321)		(100%) 2	(100%) 2	n/a	(100%) 13	(93%) 41	(100%)	(100%) 16	(070/)	(71%) 34	(27%) 15		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			80% (261)		0	2	n/a	16	37	8	1	140	41	16		
	UNIVERSE			385		2	2	n/a	12	42	13	16	196	51	51		
	National Program Manager Comments			d results will be repeant to focus on the n													ng universe
	Number, and national percent, of Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) that are discharging to POTWs with Pretreatment Programs that have control mechanisms in place that implement applicable pretreatment standards and	SG		21,298	21,298	1,314	1,850	1,699	3,619	4,540	1,976	989	647	4,088	576		
	requirements.			98%													
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,264 (99%)	21,264	1,314	1,756	1,728	3,601	4,540	1,997	1,006	658	4,088	576		ļ
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			21,785 (98%)		1,347	1,850	1,681	3,289	5,265	1,998	1,005	690	4,088	572		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			21,830 (99%)		1,367	2,101	1,685	3,561	4,721	2,081	1,003	647	4,088	576		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			22,062 (96%)		1,363	2,110	1,723	3,418	5,265	2,096	1,021	686	3,808	572		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			22,226 (97.8%)		1,589	1,882	1,790	3,932	4,899	2,132	829	592	4,019	562		
	UNIVERSE			21,680		1,397	1,888	1,734	3,619	4,552	2,017	1,025	658	4,214	576	<u> </u>	
	National Program Manager Comments	All universe	numbers are	approximate as they	shift from year to	year.							,				
WQ- 15a	Percent of major dischargers in Significant Noncompliance (SNC) at any time during the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD SG	22.5%	<22.5%												≤22.5%	

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	are categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment) the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (y Report Measi	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Γarget is from	4-year perforr	nance measure
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			≤22.5%												≤22.5%	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			23.9%		39.8%	29.3%	18.4%	25.9%	19.1%	23.3%	34.4%	10.5%	19.8%	14.1%	23.9%	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			22.6%		39.8%	29.0%	16.7%	22.0%	18.4%	23.9%	31.7%	7.8%	16.5%	21.5%	22.6%	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			19.7%		25.0%	28.7%	15.0%	20.7%	17.7%	23.7%	17.7%	8.0%	13.7%	15.3%		
	UNIVERSE (FY 06)			6,643		426	582	757	1,345	1,167	1,087	396	260	347	276		
	National Program Manager Comments	HQ reports	results by Reg	ion. FY 08 commitm	nent for WQ-15a	of ≤22.5%	is a 3 yr. av	erage that sh	nows overall	trends.							
WQ- 16	Number, and national percent, of all major publicly-owned treatment works (POTWs) that comply with their permitted wastewater discharge standards. (i.e. POTWs that are not in significant non-compliance)	OMB PA BUD	86%	4,256 (86%)												4,256 (86%)	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			4,256 (86%)												4,256 (86%)	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			3,645 (86%)												3,645 (86%)	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			3,650 (86%)												3,650 (86%)	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			3,670												3,670	4
	UNIVERSE			4,238												4,238	
	National Program Manager Comments	*FY 06 end	-of-year data n	not from ACS.													
WQ- 17	Fund utilization rate [cumulative loan agreement dollars to the cumulative funds available for projects] for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF).	OMB PA BUD	94.5%	94.5%		94%	90%	92%	95%	92%	91%	92%	94.5%	93%	95%		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			98%		102%	90%	92%	102%	n/a	94%	n/a	93%	109%	104%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			94.5%		96%	90%	92%	92%	95%	92%	89%	93%	94%	95%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			98%		107%	95%	94%	103%	96%	95%	93%	95%	103%	103%		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			96.7%		104%	96%	94%	100%	95%	90%	91%	93%	101%	106%		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			94.7%		110%	94%	89%	95%	98%	91%	88%	91%	93%	98%		1
	UNIVERSE (in billions)			\$75.2		\$7.5	\$15.1	\$6.5	\$8.7	\$15.8	\$7.1	\$4.0	\$2.3	\$6.0	\$2.2		
	National Program Manager Comments	*Universe r	epresents the f	unds available for pr	ojects for the CW	SRF throu	gh 2009, in l	oillions of de	ollars (i.e., th	e denomina	tor of the m	easure).					
	Number of high priority state NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	OMB PA BUD SG SMM (EQR & NPMStat: QMRWQ- 19a)	95%	710	710	12	42	125	120	110	51	164	56	22	8		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,026		16	42	125	253	204	122	164	56	36	8		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			670 (95%)		13	35	96	106	167	72	102	46	19	14		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			930 (120%)		16	40	168	198	252	84	104	47	17	4		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
* Measu table in t	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment). he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (; BUD (Budge SP) proposed	et Measure); SG (targets are from	(State Grant Measure); S the new 2009-2014 EPA	SMM (Senior Manag Strategic Plan, to b	ement Measu e submitted to	re); EQR (EPA c) Congress in 2	Stat Quarterly	Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perfori	mance measure
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			484 (112%)					72 (144%)		+						
	FY 2005 BASELINE			601 (104%)		9	30	21 142	91	265 110	125	32 119	62	3 22	11 41		
		commitmer There will I HQ reports 63.2% (trib	nt schedule. Re be no percenta results by Reg al). FY 2007 r	will be revised to pregions will commit to ge goal for this meas gion. WQ-19a confor neasure reported in 3 I EPA issued (WQ-19a)	o issue a certain resure. The universe rms to 106 OMB parts (State issue	of priority p number of po e of priority PA measure ed, EPA nor	permits in tin ermits from to permits will e. FY 2006 m n-tribal, and	ne for the set he fixed uni be updated neasure, form EPA tribal p	tting of nation verse of prior annually. ned prior to Opermits). *FY	al and region ity permits OMB PA, re 2007 Region	onal commin FY 2010 ported in 2 onal commi	itments in 3. The nation parts (non-tments & re	September nal target tribal and esults are r	2009, cons will be the s tribal). FY not from AC	istent with the	gional comi 98.5% (noi measure wa	n-tribal) & s reported as
WQ- 19b	Number of high priority state and EPA (including tribal) NPDES permits that are issued in the fiscal year.	BUD	95%	792	792	35	39	145	120	110	57	120	62	37	67		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,118		36	54	130	253	204	132	165	58	48	38		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			743 (95%)		30	46	101	106	167	81	102	47	31	32		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			61 (109%)		9	14	1	1	255	3	0	3	1	26		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			63 (100%)			20 (125%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)		5 (100%)		0 (0%)	25 (104%)		
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			59 (104%) 792		16 35	39	145	120	265 110	57	8 120	62	37	19 67		
	National Program Manager Comments	measure. F EPA non-tr Starting in	Y 2006 measu ribal, and EPA FY 2008, the t	ge goal for this meas re, formed prior to O tribal permits). *FY universe of priority p ued and EPA issued	MB PA, reported 2007 Regional c ermits candidates	l in 2 parts (ommitments is expande	non-tribal ar s & results ar	nd tribal). F	Y 2006 result ACS. **FY08	s: 98.5% (n measure v	on-tribal) & vas reporte	t 63.2% (tr d as State Is	ibal). FY 2 ssue (WQ-	2007 measu 19a) and El	re reported in PA issued (W	3 parts (Sta Q-19b) pric	ate issued, ority permits.
Subobj	ective 2.2.2 Improve Coastal and Ocean Waters																
	Prevent water pollution and protect coastal and ocean systems to improve national and regional coastal aquatic system health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	OMB PA	Long-Term	2.8												2.8	2.8
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.4												2.4	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			2.4												2.4	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.4												2.4	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2004 BASELINE			2.3												2.8	
	UNIVERSE			5												5	
	National Program Manager Comments	Rating cons	sists of a 5-poi	nt system where 1 is	poor and 5 is goo	od.											
	Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the Northeast Region.			2.4											T.		T
																2.4	2.4
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2												1.8	2.4
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			2 1.8												1.8	2.4
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			2 1.8 1.8												1.8 1.8 1.8	2.4
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			2 1.8												1.8	2.4

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment), he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (y Report Measu	are); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Γarget is from	4-year perfori	mance measure
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-o	of-year data n	ot from ACS. (For G	ulf of Mexico, se	Subobject	ive 4.3.5)										
SP-17	Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the Southeast Region.			3.6												3.6	3.6
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			4												3.8	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			3.8												3.8	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			3.8												3.8	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2004 BASELINE			3.8												3.8	
	UNIVERSE			5												5	
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-o	of-year data n	ot from ACS. (For G	ulf of Mexico, see	e Subobject	ive 4.3.5)										
SP-18	Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the West Coast Region.			2.4												2.4	2.4
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2												2	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			2												2	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			2												2	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2004 BASELINE			2												2	
	UNIVERSE			5												5	
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-o	of-year data no	ot from ACS. (For G	ulf of Mexico, see	Subobject	ive 4.3.5)										
SP-19	Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the 'good/fair/poor' scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in Puerto Rico.			1.7												1.7	1.7
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2												1.7	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			1.7												1.7	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			0													
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2004 BASELINE			1.7												1.7	
	UNIVERSE			5												5	
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-o	of-year data no	ot from ACS. (For G	ulf of Mexico, see	e Subobject	ive 4.3.5)										
SP-20	Percent of active dredged material ocean dumping sites that will have achieved environmentally acceptable conditions (as reflected in each site's management plan and measured through on-site monitoring programs).	BUD	95%	98%	99%	100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		95%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			99%		100%	100%	100%	95%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			98%		100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			99%		100%	100%	100%	90%	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	100%	100%		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (ACS results numerical)			84.8%(56)		5	3	3	13	n/a	14	n/a	n/a	11	7		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			94% (60)		5	3	2	17	n/a	15	n/a	n/a	11	7		

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
* Measur table in t	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment). he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (; BUD (Budge SP) proposed t	t Measure); SG (targets are from t	State Grant Measure); Sthe new 2009-2014 EPA	MM (Senior Manage Strategic Plan, to be	ement Measu submitted to	re); EQR (EPA Congress in 2	Stat Quarterly	y Report Measu	re); and NPM	1Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perforn	nance measure
	2010 UNIVERSE			65		5	3	2	19	n/a	15	n/a	n/a	11	10		
	National Program Manager Comments	FY 07 end-	of-year data is	shown numerically	in ACS. Indicator	r measure ii	n FY 07.										
CO-7	Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the Hawaii Region.			4.5												4.5	4.5
	FY 2008 BASELINE			4.5												4.5	
	UNIVERSE			5												5	
	National Program Manager Comments	New strateg	gic measure sta	arting in FY 2010													
CO-8	Maintain aquatic ecosystem health on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report in the South Central Alaska Region.			5.0												5.0	5.0
	FY 2008 BASELINE			5												5	
	UNIVERSE			5												5	
	National Program Manager Comments	New strateg	ic measure sta	arting in FY 2010													
4.3.2	Working with partners, protect or restore additional acres of habitat within the study areas for the 28 estuaries that are part of the National Estuary Program (NEP).	OMB PA BUD SMM	100,000	100,000	44,089	5,240	1,115	3,100	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	227	1,407		500,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			125,437		6,184	1,690	4,642	101,792	n/a	3,943	n/a	n/a	4,861	2,325		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			100,000	46,121	3,321	1,115	3,000	30,000	n/a	3,000	n/a	n/a	2,883	2,802		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			82,828		3,267	1,860	7,858.5	43,763.8	n/a	3,643	n/a	n/a	21,873	562.7		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			102,462		9,269	1,814	8,349	60,963	n/a	11,484	n/a	n/a	6,090	4,493		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			449,241		14,562	15,009	33,793	232,605	n/a	54,378	n/a	n/a	82,363	16,531		ļ
	UNIVERSE			n/a													
	National Program Manager Comments	Note: This i	measure is unc	ler Goal 4 in the 200	6-2011 Strategic l	Plan. FY 05	cumulative	end-of-year	regional dat	a used for b	aseline is no	ot from AC	S.				
Subobj	ective 4.3.1 Increase Wetlands	,															
SP-21	Working with partners, achieve a net increase of acres of wetlands per year with additional focus on biological and functional measures and assessment of wetland condition.	BUD	n/a	Commitment Deferred for FY 2010												n/a	100,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a												n/a	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			100,000												100,000	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			32,000												32,000	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			32,000												32,000	
	FY 2005 BASELINE			32,000												32,000	J
	National Program Manager Comments	show a cont Qualifying	tinuation of up language: The	ot from ACS. FY 06 oward trends. Data s 2005-2009 reporting years old upon publ	ource: U.S. DOI, of this mo	U.S. Fish ar easure refle	nd Wildlife s cts that the o	Service, 201 lata: a) are p	0. Status and oublished in 5	Trends of V	Wetlands in ments, whic	the Conter	minous Ur	nited States 2	2005-2009, V	Vashington,	DC.

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (i								y Report Measi	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year performa	ance measure
SP-22	In partnership with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, states and tribes, achieve 'no net loss' of wetlands each year under the Clean Water Act Section 404 regulatory program.	BUD	No net loss	No Net Loss												No Net Loss	No Net Loss
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			No Net Loss												No Net Loss	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			No net loss												No net loss	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			data n/a data n/a												data n/a data n/a	
	National Program Manager Comments	Data source	: U.S. Fish & '	Wildlife Service We	tland Status and	Trends Repo	ort.										
	Number of acres restored and improved, under the 5-Star, NEP, 319, and great waterbody programs (cumulative).		96,000	96,000												96,000	
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			103,507												103,507	
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			88,000												88,000	
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			82,875												82,875	
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			61,856												61,856	
				hose supported by W cumulative total. Un													stored and
WT-4	Number of states measuring baseline wetland condition - with plans to assess trends in wetland condition - as defined through condition indicators and assessments (cumulative).			21	21	4	0	4	1	2	1	3	4	1	1		
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			20		3	0	4	1	2	1	2	4	1	2		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			21		3	2	4	1	2	1	2	4	1	1		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			14		2	0	5	1	2	1	1	1	0	1		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			13		2	0	3	1	2 2	1	1	1	1	1		
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 END OF YEAR RESULT			18		3	0	4	1	1	1	2	4	1	1		
	National Program Manager Comments	States may encouraged Regions sho	use either Leve to use a proba	ment within an Integet 1, 2, or 3 methods bility survey design with EPA HQ and	or the combined for measuring bas	3-Level app seline cond	ring Report (proach. The	IMR) the bastate also ha	aseline condi	survey for th	ne purposes	and type fo of evaluat	or the entire	To maximiz	ze financial		
		Measure rev	VISCUTOITIO.														
Subobj	ective 4.2.4 Sustain and Restore the U.SMexico			I ealth													
SP-23	L		vironmental F	36 million pounds												36 million pounds	152.8 million pounds
SP-23	ective 4.2.4 Sustain and Restore the U.SMexico Loading of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) removed (cumulative million pounds/year) from	Border En	vironmental F														million
SP-23	ective 4.2.4 Sustain and Restore the U.SMexico Loading of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) removed (cumulative million pounds/year) from the U.SMexico Border area since 2003. FY 2003 BASELINE	OMB PA	vironmental F	36 million pounds	ero pounds/year o	of BOD rem	oved from U	J.SMexico	Border area	waters as a	result of ne	w infrastru	cture proje	ects.		pounds	million
SP-23	ective 4.2.4 Sustain and Restore the U.SMexico Loading of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) removed (cumulative million pounds/year) from the U.SMexico Border area since 2003. FY 2003 BASELINE	OMB PA	vironmental F	36 million pounds	ero pounds/year o	of BOD rem	noved from U	J.SMexico	Border area	waters as a	result of ne	w infrastru	cture proje	1,899		pounds	million

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
* Measu table in t	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment), he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (; BUD (Budge SP) proposed	et Measure); SG (targets are from	State Grant Measure); S he new 2009-2014 EPA	MM (Senior Manag Strategic Plan, to be	ement Measur e submitted to	re); EQR (EPA Congress in 2	Stat Quarterly 010.	Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EPA	AStat measur	re). ** FY	2010 Budget T	Target is from	4-year perforn	nance measure
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			1,500							1,500			0			
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			5,162							5,162			0			
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,276												1,276	
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0 98,515													
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE	:	. 11		0.7.1	: FN/											
	National Program Manager Comments	2003 Basel	ine: zero addit	orted starting in FY (onal homes provided own homes in the M	safe drinking w	ater in the U	J.SMexico		er.								
SP-25	Number of additional homes provided adequate wastewater sanitation in the U.SMexico border area that lacked access to wastewater sanitation in 2003.	OMB PA BUD EQR	246,175 cumulative	100,720	100,720	;					100,000			720			424,370 cumulative
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			43,594							39,477			4,117			
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			105,500							100,000			5,500			
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			31,686							31,686			0			
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			73,475												73,475	
	FY 2003 BASELINE			0													
	FY 2003 UNIVERSE			690,723													
		2003 Baseli	ine: zero addit	orted starting in FY (onal homes provided nown homes in the U	l wastewater sani	tation the U	.SMexico		sanitation.								
Subobj	ective 4.2.5 Sustain and Restore Pacific Island T	erritories															
SP-26	Percentage of population in the U.S. Pacific Islands Territories that has access to continuous drinking water meeting all applicable health-based drinking water standards, measured on a four quarter rolling average basis.	BUD	73%	73%										73%			95%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%													
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT													80%			
				73%										80% 73%			
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT																
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE			73%										73%			
		New measu	re starting in I	73% 79% 95% of American Samoa; 10% of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands; 80% of Guam										73%			
	FY 2005 BASELINE	New measu	are starting in I	73% 79% 95% of American Samoa; 10% of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands; 80% of Guam										73%			90%
SP-27	FY 2005 BASELINE National Program Manager Comments Percent of time that sewage treatment plants in the U.S. Pacific Island Territories comply with permit limits for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and			73% 79% 95% of American Samoa; 10% of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands; 80% of Guam										73% 79%			90%
SP-27	FY 2005 BASELINE National Program Manager Comments Percent of time that sewage treatment plants in the U.S. Pacific Island Territories comply with permit limits for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS). FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			73% 79% 95% of American Samoa; 10% of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands; 80% of Guam Y 08.										73% 79% 62%			90%
SP-27	FY 2005 BASELINE National Program Manager Comments Percent of time that sewage treatment plants in the U.S. Pacific Island Territories comply with permit limits for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS).			73% 79% 95% of American Samoa; 10% of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands; 80% of Guam Y 08.										73% 79% 62%			90%

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); ne FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (Report Measu	ire); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
	FY 2005 BASELINE			59%										59%			
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	re starting in I	FY 08.													
SP-28	Percent of days of the beach season that beaches in each of the U.S. Pacific Island Territories monitored under the Beach Safety Program will be open and safe for swimming.	BUD	80%	80%										80%			96%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			81%										81%			
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			80%										80%			
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			80%										80%			
	FY 2005 BASELINE			84%										84%			
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	re starting in l	FY 08.													
Subobj	ective 4.3.3 Improve the Health of the Great Lak	es															
	Improve the overall ecosystem health of the Great Lakes by preventing water pollution and protecting aquatic ecosystems.	OMB PA	Long-Term	23.0						23							23.5
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			23.9						23.9							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			22.5						22.5							
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			23.7						23.7							
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			22.7						22.7							
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			21.5						21.5 40.0							
				les a general indication contamination, beac							n coastal w	etlands, ph	osphorus c	oncentration	ns, AOC sed	iment conta	imination,
	Average annual percentage decline for the long- term trend in concentrations of PCBs in whole lake trout and walleye samples.	OMB PA BUD	5%	5%						5%							5%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			6%						6%							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			5%						5%							
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			6%						6%							
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			6%						6%							
	National Program Manager Comments	measureme	nt and reportir	s in top predator fishing means that the FY], & Ontario [2.18 pp	09 target pertain												
	Average annual percentage decline for the long- term trend in concentrations of PCBs in the air in the Great Lakes basin.	OMB PA BUD	7%	7%						7%							7%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			7%						7%							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			7%						7%							
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			7%						7%							
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			8%						8%							
	National Program Manager Comments			entrations are expect ations were: L. Super						ween measur	rement and	reporting r	neans that	the FY 09 ta	arget pertain	s to measur	ements made
SP-31	Number of Areas of Concern in the Great Lakes Basin which are restored and de-listed.	OMB PA	Long-Term	3						3							7

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	e categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); te FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (S								Report Measu	re); and NPMS	Stat (OW EP	AStat measur	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Γarget is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
J	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1						1							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			2						2							
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			1						1							
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			1						31							
	UNIVERSE			31													
1	National Program Manager Comments	SP-31 ident	ifies a cumulat	tive target of delistin	g 3 of the origina	il 31 US or	binational A	reas of Conc	ern. Only 1	AOC (in Nev	w York) ha	s been de-l	isted to dat	te.			
	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments remediated (cumulative) in the Great Lakes.	OMB PA BUD	6.5 million	6.4 million						6.4 million							8 million
J	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			6 million						6 million							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			5.9 million						5.9 million							
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			5.5 million						5.5 million							
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			4.5 million						4.5 million							
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			3.7 million 46 million						3.7 million 46 million							-
	National Program Manager Comments			ty of contaminated s nt decisions, informa						s been revis							-submitted
GL-1	Number, and percent of all NPDES permitted discharges to the Lakes or major tributaries that have permit limits that reflect the Guidance's water			2,815	2,815		1,186	33		1,596							
C	quality standards, where applicable.			96%			93%	100%		98%							
]	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,763 (90%)			1,186 (93%)	33 (100%)		1,544 (98%)							
]	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			2,908 (96%)			1,186 (93%)	33 (100%)		1,689 (98%)							
)	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,815 (96%)			1,186 (93%)	33 (100%)		1,596 (98%)							
J	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			2,890 (95%)			1,186 (93%)	33 (100%)		1,671 (96%)							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			2,883 (91.9%)*			1,196(93%)			1,654(91%)							
1	UNIVERSE			2,939			1,275	33		1,631							
ı	National Program Manager Comments			ljusted to include up Lakes subset of mea							nformation	. FY 07 uni	verse equa	als 3,048 and	I FY 08 univ	erse was 3,	057.
GL-2 1	Number, and Great Lakes percent, using a constant denominator, of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) permits with a schedule incorporated into an appropriate enforceable mechanism, including a permit or enforcement order, with specific dates and milestones, including a completion date consistent with Agency guidance, which requires: 1) Implementation of a Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) which will result in compliance with the technology and water quality-based requirements of the Clean Water Act; or 2) implementation of any other acceptable CSO control measures consistent with the 1994 CSO Control Policy; or 3) completion of separation after the baseline date. (cumulative)			135	135		23	1		111							
	,			89%			88%	100%		90%							

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
* Measur table in th	e categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment). te FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (; BUD (Budge SP) proposed t	t Measure); SG (argets are from t	State Grant Measure); S he new 2009-2014 EPA	SMM (Senior Manag Strategic Plan, to be	ement Measu e submitted to	re); EQR (EPA Congress in 2	Stat Quarterly 010.	Report Measu	re); and NPM!	Stat (OW EP	AStat measur	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Γarget is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			129 (80%)			22 (85%)	1 (100%)		106 (85%)							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			136 (90%)			23 (88%)	1 (100%)		112 (90%)							
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			126 (83%)			20 (77%)	1 (100%)		105 (85%)							
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			120 (79%)			19 (73%)	1 (100%)		100 (81%)							
	FY 2002 BASELINE			129 (85%)			11	1		117							
	UNIVERSE			151			26	1		124							
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe fo	r this measure	changes with curren	t information. FY	7 07 end-of-	year univers	e equals 151									
GL-3	Percent of high priority Tier 1 (significant) Great Lakes beaches where States and local agencies have put into place water quality monitoring and public notification programs that comply with the U.S. EPA National Beaches Guidance.			100%			100%	100%		100%							
	U.S. EPA National Beaches Guidance.			349	349		20	11		318							
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			100%			100%	100%		100%							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			100%			100% (21)	100% (11)		100% (315)							
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			100% (348)			100% (21)	n/a		100% (306)							
	FY 2006 END OF YEAR RESULT			100% (363)			100% (21)	n/a		100% (305)							
	FY 2005 BASELINE			100% (355)			100% (21)	n/a		100% (334)							
	UNIVERSE			349			20	11		318							
	National Program Manager Comments	Universe fo	r this measure	changes with curren	t information. Pr	rior to FY 2	007, Region	2's universe	included mo	re than just	the Tier 1 l	eaches.					
GL-5	Number of Beneficial Use Impairments removed within Areas of Concern. (cumulative) [New measure for FY 09]	OMB PA BUD	26	26						26							46
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			12						12							
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			21						21							
	National Program Manager Comments	Naw massu	re added for E	Y 2009 from 2007 C	MD DA raviau											I	1
				1 2007 Holli 2007 C	DIVID I A IEVIEW.												1
	ective 4.3.4 Improve the Health of the Chesapeal	ke Bay Ecos	ystem														450/
SP-33	Percent of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation goal of 185,000 acres achieved, based on annual monitoring from prior year.	OMB PA	Long-Term	Long Term Measure				Long Term Measure									45% (83,250 acres)
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			42% (76,861 acres)				42% (76,861 acres)									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			35% (64,912 acres)				35% (64,912 acres)									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (updated from ACS)			32% (59,160 acres)				32% (59,160 acres)									
	FY 2005 BASELINE			39% (72,945)													
	UNIVERSE			185,000 acres													
	National Program Manager Comments	Starting in 2	2008, the Ager	cy no longer sets an	nual commitmen	ts for SAV	(SP-33) due	to the extren	ne variability	in the annua	al results.	Instead, EP	A set a lon	g term targe	t of 45% go	al achieven	nent in 2011.

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
* Measu table in t	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (i	BUD (Budge SP) proposed t	t Measure); SG (targets are from t	State Grant Measure); S he new 2009-2014 EPA	SMM (Senior Manag A Strategic Plan, to be	ement Measur e submitted to	re); EQR (EPA Congress in 2	AStat Quarterly 010.	Report Measu	ire); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measur	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perform	nance measure
SP-34	Percent of Dissolved Oxygen goal of 100% standards attainment achieved, based on annual monitoring from the previous calendar year and the preceding 2 years.	OMB PA	Long-Term	Long Term Measure				Long Term Measure									40% (29.92 cubic km)
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			16% (12.27 km³)				16% (12.27 km³)									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			12% (8.98 km³)				12% (8.98 km³)									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)			28% (20.94 km3)				28% (20.94 km³)									
	FY 2005 BASELINE			30% (22.73 km)													
	UNIVERSE			100% (74.8 km3)													
	National Program Manager Comments			was first used in the ne variability in the								ting results	for this me	easure for m	any years).	Annual com	mitments are
SP-35	Percent of goal achieved for implementation of nitrogen reduction practices (expressed as progress in meeting the nitrogen reduction goal of 162.5 million pounds from 1985 levels to achieve an annual cap load of 175 million lbs (based on long-term average hydrology simulations).	OMB PA BUD	52%	52% (84.44 M lbs)				52% (84.44 M lbs)									60% (97.43 M lbs)
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			49% (79.01 M lbs)				49% (79.01 M lbs)									
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			50% (81.19 M lbs)				50% (81.19 M lbs)									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			47% (75.6 M lbs)				47% (75.6 M lbs)									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (updated from ACS)			46% (74.63 M lbs)				46% (74.63 M lbs)									
	FY 2005 BASELINE			41% (67 M lbs)				41% (67 M lbs)									
	UNIVERSE			100% (162.5 M lbs)				100% (162.5 M lbs)									
	National Program Manager Comments			and results are calcu 2010, CBP will beg											on goal (162.	5 M lbs). V	√hen the Bay
SP-36	Percent of goal achieved for implementation of phosphorus reduction practices (expressed as progress in meeting the phosphorus reduction goal of 14.36 million pounds from 1985 levels to achieve an annual cap load of 12.8 million lbs (based on long-term average hydrology simulations).	OMB PA BUD	66%	66% (9.48 M lbs)				66% (9.48 M lbs)									74% (10.62 M lbs)

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment) he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (Report Meas	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measur	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perform	mance measure
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			65% (9.38 M lbs)				65% (9.38 M lbs)									
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			64% (9.19 M lbs)				64% (9.19 M lbs)									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			62% (8.9 M lbs)				62% (8.9 M lbs)									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			62% (8.83 M lbs)				62% (8.83 M lbs)									
	FY 2005 BASELINE			58% (8.4 M lbs)				58% (8.4 M lbs)									
	UNIVERSE			100% (14.36 M lbs)				100% (14.36 M lbs)									
	National Program Manager Comments			and results are calcu 2010, CBP will beg											on goal (14.3	36 M lbs). V	When the Bay
	Percent of goal achieved for implementation of sediment reduction practices (expressed as progress in meeting the sediment reduction goal of 1.69 million tons from 1985 levels to achieve an annual cap load of 4.15 million tons (based on long-term average hydrology simulations).	OMB PA BUD	71%	67% (1.13 M tons)				67% (1.13 M tons)									83% (1.4 M tons)
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			64% (1.08 M tons)				64% (1.08 M tons)									
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			67% (1.13 M tons)				67% (1.13 M tons)									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			64% (1.07 M tons)				64% (1.07 M tons)									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (updated from ACS)			67% (1.13 M tons)				62% (1.03 M tons)									
	FY 2005 BASELINE			54% (0.9 M tons)				54% (0.9 M tons)									
	UNIVERSE			100% (1.69 M tons)				100% (1.69 M tons)									
	National Program Manager Comments			and results are calcu 2010, CBP will beg											on goal (4.15	M tons). V	When the Bay
CB-1a	Percent of point source nitrogen reduction goal of 49.9 million pounds achieved.	OMB PA BUD	79%	74% (36.92 M lbs)				74% (36.92 M lbs)									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			70% (34.9 M lbs)				70% (34.9 M lbs)									
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			74% (36.92 M lbs)				74% (36.92 M lbs)									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			69%				69%									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			69%				69%									

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
* Measur table in tl	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (S	BUD (Budget SP) proposed t	Measure); SG (argets are from t	State Grant Measure); S he new 2009-2014 EPA	MM (Senior Manage Strategic Plan, to be	ement Measur e submitted to	re); EQR (EPA Congress in 2	AStat Quarterly 010.	Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP.	AStat measur	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Γarget is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
	FY 2005 BASELINE			60.95%				61%									
	UNIVERSE			100% (49.9 M lbs/yr)				100% (49.9 M lbs/yr)									
				and results are calcu in relation to a new r		o the existin	ig long-term	reduction go	oal (49.9 M l	bs). When t	he Bay TM	DL is final	lized in De	c 2010, CBI	will begin	reporting ta	rgets,
	Percent of point source phosphorus reduction goal of 6.16 million pounds achieved.	OMB PA BUD	89%	96% (5.92 M lbs)				96% (5.92 M lbs)									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			96% (5.92 M lbs)				96% (5.92 M lbs)									
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			87% (5.36 M lbs)				87% (5.36 M lbs)									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE			87% 87% 80%				87% 87% 80%									
	UNIVERSE			100% (6.16 M lbs/yr)				100% (6.16 M lbs/yr)									
				and results are calcu in relation to a new r		o the existin	ig long-term	reduction go	oal (6.16 M l	bs). When t	he Bay TM	DL is final	lized in De	ec 2010, CBl	will begin	reporting ta	rgets,
CB-2	Percent of forest buffer planting goal of 10,000 miles achieved.	OMB PA BUD	65%	65% (6,522 miles)				65% (6,522 miles)									
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			62% (6,172 miles)				62% (6,172 miles)									
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			62% (6,182 miles)				62% (6,182 miles)									
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			57%				57%									
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			53%				53%									
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE			38% 100% (10,000 miles)				38% 100% (10,000 miles)									
	National Program Manager Comments	Based on pr	eliminary resu	lts, it appears that w	e will meet the F	Y 2009 com	mitment for										<u> </u>
	ective 4.3.5 Improve the Health of the Gulf of Mo		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,														
4.3.5	Improve the overall health of coastal waters of the Gulf of Mexico on the "good/fair/poor" scale of the National Coastal Condition Report.	BUD	2.5	2.5													2.5
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.2													
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			2.5 2.2 2.4													
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2004 BASELINE UNIVERSE			2.4													
				indicators of ecolog													

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan								Report Measi	ure); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from 4	-year perforn	nance measure
SP-38	Restore water and habitat quality to meet water quality standards in impaired segments in 13 priority areas. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	96	96													160
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			131													
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			96													
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			data n/a													
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			38													
	FY 2002 BASELINE			0													
	UNIVERSE			812		-											
	National Program Manager Comments	SP-38 repla	ces FY 07 mea	sure GM-1. FY 07	end-of-year data	not from A	CS. Universe	changed fro	om 354 to 81	12.							
SP-39	Restore, enhance, or protect a cumulative number of acres of important coastal and marine habitats. (cumulative starting in FY 07)	BUD	27,500	27,500													32,600
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			29,344													
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			20,660													
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			25,215													
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2005 BASELINE			18,660 16.000													
	UNIVERSE			3,769,370 acres													
	National Program Manager Comments	Coastal hab	itat includes m	arshes, wetlands, tid	lal flats, ovster be	ds seagrass	ses mangrov	res dunes an	nd maritime	forest ridge a	ıreas				I		
SP-40	Reduce releases of nutrients throughout the Mississippi River Basin to reduce the size of the hypoxic zone in the Gulf of Mexico, as measured by the 5-year running average of the size of the zone.			Commitment Deferred			,										5,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a													
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			20 500 1 3													
				20,500 km ²													
	FY 2005 BASELINE			20,500 km ² 14,128 km ²													
	FY 2005 BASELINE UNIVERSE																
		Targets/con	nmitments are	14,128 km ²	e SP-40.												
GM-1	UNIVERSE	Targets/con	nmitments are	14,128 km ² n/a	e SP-40.												
GM-1	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Implement integrated bi-national (U.S. and Mexican Border States) early-warning system to support State and coastal community efforts to	Targets/con	nmitments are	14,128 km² n/a deferred for measure Expand operation	e SP-40.												
GM-1	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments Implement integrated bi-national (U.S. and Mexican Border States) early-warning system to support State and coastal community efforts to manage harmful algal blooms (HABs).	Targets/con	nmitments are	14,128 km² n/a deferred for measure Expand operation to Campeche, MX	e SP-40.												

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment). he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measur	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perform	mance measure
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			Expand operational system to South FL & South TX													
	National Program Manager Comments			ne number of states to be Gulf of Mexico.	hat have timely a	ccess to dat	a and inform	ation for de	tecting, track	ing, and for	ecasting H	AB events a	and their et	ffects on pul	olic health, c	oastal econo	omies, and
GM-3a	Number of near term actions in the Gulf of Mexico Alliance Governors' Action Plan that are on track.	EQR		15													
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			10													
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			10													
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			40													1
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			22													
	FY 2005 BASELINE			73													
	UNIVERSE	The meesur	a languaga wa	s revised for FY 08 i	n ACS to reflect t	ha Overtari	v Managam	ant Danart (1/09) Magg	ura ia nauvii	two ports	Actions	n trook (C	M 2a) and	A ations som	plated (CM	2b) EV 07
	National Program Manager Comments		data not from		ii ACS to reflect t	ille Quarteri	iy ivianagem	ent Keport (1/06). Wieds	iie is now n	i two parts	– Actions (шаск (О	nvi-sa) and i	Actions com	pieted (Givi	-30). F1 07
	Number of near term actions in the Gulf of Mexico Alliance Governors' Action Plan that are completed.	EQR		5													
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			63													
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			63													
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			32													
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			9													
	FY 2005 BASELINE			0													
	UNIVERSE			73													
	National Program Manager Comments	The measur	e language wa	s revised for FY 08 i	n ACS to reflect t	the Quarter	y Managem	ent Report (1/08). Measi	are is now in	two parts	– Actions o	on track (G	M-3a) and	Actions com	pleted (GM	-3b).
Subobj	ective 4.3.6 Restore and Protect Long Island Sou	ınd															
SP-41	Percent of goal achieved in reducing trade- equalized (TE) point source nitrogen discharges to Long Island Sound from the 1999 baseline of 59,146 TE lbs/day.	BUD	52%	52%			52%										100%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a			n/a										
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			135,374 lbs/day (37,323 TE lbs/day)			135,374 lbs/day (37,323 TE lbs/day)										
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			40,440 TE lbs/day			40,440 TE lbs/day										
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)			153,932 lbs/day (39,232 TE lbs/day)			153,932 lbs/day (39,232 TE lbs/day)										

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment). he FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Γarget is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
	FY 1999 Trade BASELINE			211,724 lbs/day			211,724 lbs/day										
	National Program Manager Comments	point source beginning in	based on its p 1999. The ar	FY 08. *Measure wi proximity to the rece inual commitments a dated from the 2006	iving water body are calculated by o	(LIS). The lividing the	TMDL estab	lished a Wa etween the	ste Load All 1999 baseline	e and 2014 to	2,774 TE lb arget by 15	s/day from	point sour	rces, to be a	chieved over	a 15 year j	period
	Reduce the size (square miles) and duration (number of days) of observed hypoxia (Dissolved Oxygen <3mg/l) in Long Island Sound.			Commitment deferred for FY 2010			Commitme nt deferred for FY 2010										156 square miles and 43 days
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			169 miles; 45 days			169 miles; 45 days										
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			n/a [Commit. deferred for FY 09]			n/a										
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			180 sq. miles; 79 days			180 sq. miles; 79 days										
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)			162 sq miles; 58 days			162 sq miles; 58 days										
	FY 2005 BASELINE			203 sq. miles; 58 days			203 sq. miles; 58 days										
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	re starting in F	Y 08. Due to inter-	annual variability	, annual red	luction target	s are not ca	culated for t	nis measure.	*FY 07 en	d-of-year c	lata not fro	m ACS.			
SP-43	Percent of goal achieved in restoring, protecting or enhancing 240 acres of coastal habitat from the 2008 baseline of 1,199 acres.	BUD	33%	33% (79 acres)			33% (79 acres)										100% (240 acres)
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,614			1,614										
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			1,225			1,225										
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT (not from ACS)			1,199			1,199										
	FY 2008 BASELINE			1,199 acres restored & protected			1,199 acres restored & protected										
	National Program Manager Comments		cres per year f	FY 08. For SP-43: 1 for 6 years. *FY 06													
SP-44	Percent of goal achieved in reopening 50 river and stream miles to diadromous fish passage from the 2008 baseline of 124 miles.	BUD	33%	33% (17 miles)			33% (17 miles)										100% (50 miles)
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			147			147										
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			144			144										
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			124.3			124.3										

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment), the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP.	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Γarget is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			123			123										
	FY 2008 BASELINE			124 miles			124 miles										
	National Program Manager Comments			FY 08. For SP-44: rere achieved in 2007								r miles re-c	pened to f	ish passage.	FY 07 end-	of-year data	not from
Subobj	jective 4.3.7 Restore and Protect the South Florid	la Ecosyster	m	T													
SP-45	Achieve 'no net loss' of stony coral cover (mean percent stony coral cover) in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) and in the coastal waters of Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, Florida, working with all stakeholders (federal, state, regional, tribal, and local).	BUD	No net loss	No Net Loss					No Net Loss								No Net Loss
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Loss					Loss								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			No Net Loss					No Net Loss								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			Small change					Small change								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			6.8% in FKNMS; 5.9% in SE Florida					6.8% in FKNMS; 5.9% in SE Florida								
	National Program Manager Comments	modified in	2006 by drop	FY 08. *Strategic I ping one hardbottom entire Sanctuary. Sta	monitoring site l	because of t	he very smal	l percentage	of stony cor	al cover pre	sent (less th	an .2%), re	sulting in	an increase	of .1 percent	in the mea	n percent
SP-46	Annually maintain the overall health and functionality of sea grass beds in the FKNMS as measured by the long-term sea grass monitoring project that addresses composition and abundance, productivity, and nutrient availability.	BUD	Maintain	Maintain Baseline					Maintain Baseline								Maintain Baseline
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			Maintain Baseline					Maintain Baseline								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			Small change					Small change								
	FY 2005 BASELINE			EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48					EI = 8.3; SCI=0.48								
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	res starting in	FY 08. **EI = Eler	nental Indicator;	SCI = Spec	ies Composi	ion Index.									
SP-47	Annually maintain the overall water quality of the near shore and coastal waters of the FKNMS.	BUD	Maintain	Maintain Baseline					Maintain Baseline								Maintain Baseline
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			Maintain Baseline					Maintain Baseline								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			Small change					Small change								

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	HQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment); the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (y Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measur	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Γarget is from	4-year perforr	mance measure
	FY 2005 BASELINE			chlorophyll≤ 0.2 ug/1 - 43; light attenuation ≤ 0.13/meter - 23; dissolved inorganic nitrogen ≤0.75 micromolar 251; total phosphorus ≤ 0.2 micromolar - 296													
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	re starting in F	Y 08. Baseline num	bers are monitor	ing sites not	meeting wa	ter quality p	parameters.								
SP-48	Improve the water quality of the Everglades ecosystem as measured by total phosphorus, including meeting the 10 parts per billion (ppb) total phosphorus criterion throughout the Everglades Protection Area marsh and the effluent limits to be established for discharges from stormwater treatment areas.	BUD	Maintain phosphorus baseline and meet discharge limits	Maintain phosphorus baseline and meet discharge limits					Maintain phosphorus baseline and meet discharge limits								Meet phosphorus criterion and discharge limits
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			Maintain Baseline					Maintain Baseline								
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			Not maintained					Not maintained								
	National Program Manager Comments	Loxahatche		FY 08. 2005 Baselin Idlife Refuge, and 18 rea 1W.					entrations wer								
Subobj	ective 4.3.8 Restore and Protect the Puget Sound																
SP-49	Improve water quality and enable the lifting of harvest restrictions in acres of shellfish bed growing areas impacted by degraded or declining water quality. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD	1,800	1,800											1,800		2,300
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			1,730											1,730		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			600											600		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT FY 2007 BASELINE			1,566 322											1,566 322		
	UNIVERSE			30,000 acres											322		
		New measu	res starting in	FY 08. *Baseline is	the end-of-year	lata for FY	07.	I									
	Remediate acres of prioritized contaminated sediments. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD	123	123											123		325
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			123.1											123.1		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			123											123		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			123											123		
	112000 2002 01 12000 02021																

FY 10 ACS Code	FY 2010 National Water Program Guidance Measure Text	*Measure Groups	**FY 2010 Budget Target	FY 2010 National Final Commitment	Regional Aggregate of Final Commitments	Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Region 6	Region 7	Region 8	Region 9	Region 10	НQ	***SP Proposed Target (FY 2014)
	re categories include: OMB PA (OMB Program Assessment), the FY 2011 OMB Budget Submission. *** Strategic Plan (Report Measu	re); and NPM	Stat (OW EP	AStat measu	re). ** FY	2010 Budget	Target is from	4-year perfor	mance measure
	UNIVERSE			5,000 acres											5,000 acres		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	res starting in	FY 08. *Baseline is	the end-of-year o	lata for FY	07.										
SP-51	Restore acres of tidally- and seasonally-influenced estuarine wetlands. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD	6,500	6,500											6,500		9,500
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			5,751											5,751		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			5,700											5,700		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			4,413											4,413		
	FY 2007 BASELINE			4,152											4,152		
	UNIVERSE			45,000 acres											45,000 acres		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	res starting in	FY 08. *Baseline is	the end-of-year of	lata for FY	07.										
Subobj	jective 4.3.9 Restore and Protect the Columbia R	iver Basin															
	Protect, enhance, or restore acres of wetland habitat and acres of upland habitat in the Lower Columbia River watershed. (cumulative starting in FY 05)	BUD	14,250	16,000											16,000		19,000
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			15,700											15,700		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			10,000											10,000		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			12,986											12,986		
	FY 2007 END OF YEAR RESULT			4,204											4,204		
	UNIVERSE			96,770 acres											96,770 acres		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	re starting in I	Y 08. FY 07 end-or	f year adjusted da	ta is not fro	m ACS. No	te: 13,000 w	etland habita	it acres and	3,000 uplar	ıd habitat a	cres totals	16,000 acres	S.		<u> </u>
SP-53	Clean up acres of known contaminated sediments. (cumulative starting in FY 06)	BUD	20	20											20		85
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			10											10		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			5											5		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			0											0		
	UNIVERSE National Program Manager Comments	New meacu	res starting in	400 acres											400 acres	<u> </u>	
		i vew measu	ico starting III	1 1 00.													
	Demonstrate a reduction in mean concentration of certain contaminants of concern found in water and fish tissue. (cumulative starting in FY 06)			Deferred Until 2012											Deferred Until 2012		10%
	FY 2009 END OF YEAR RESULT			n/a											n/a		
	FY 2009 COMMITMENT			n/a											n/a		
	FY 2008 END OF YEAR RESULT			data n/a											data n/a		
	FY 2005 BASELINE			5 sites											5 sites		
	National Program Manager Comments	New measu	res starting in	FY 08. There will b	e no reporting on	SP-54 until	2012.										





U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Water National Water Program Guidance Appendix: FY 2010 Final Performance Measure Commitments January 2010